<u> </u>			
۰			
Aggregated: d6c9d2e6-2f78-43a0-a3c9-0955911ecfbe Disaggregated: fca53079-93b6-4e27-9883-ad57eabca189			
calculations, reference package EF3.0; https://lcdn-cepe.org/			
sts'			
colours manufacturers (CEPE)			
Max Sonnen, Ecomatters B.V., max.sonnen@ecomatters.nl;			
the EF			
1			
of			
some parameters in all data sets. Suggestions for the dataset documentation were provided, discussed, and implemented.			
Review was carried out with study of the provided data set ILCD files, data set documentation, a series of dialogues with the			
tional			
est.			
22.04.2022 PEF/OEF			
EF3.0 (3f5b0b56-60e6-4df7-869d-a811830386d9)			
ed for			
20101			
nd			
limate			
for the			
ckage;			
e			
wed for			
2			
he			
nd is			
s for			
e gate			
egale			
-			
ithout			

	1		
Allocation rules clearly evolained	NA	transported weight and distance are modelled as one value without indication as two separate parameters at the level of the central dataset. The modelling diviates from the EF modelling requirements and agreed upon with the data providers subject confidentiality. Capital goods and their end of life are included as documented. Water flows are mainly regionalized, with separation of withdrawal, release and evaporation for both foreground and background. Non- regionalised water flows are explained as allocated to countries not covered by the EF reference package, according to the EF guidance. Land related flows are partially regionalised. Non-regionalised flows are possible to be allocated to countries not listed in the EF reference package. No duplicated or waste flows detected. Not reviewed for the scope of changing	
Allocation rules clearly explained and consistent	NA	Not reviewed for the scope of changing EF reference package; no changes expected in the update	
Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)	X	Reference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermediate product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data sets used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.	
LCIA results consistency	X	LCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characterised results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.	
Nomenclature			
Correctness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)	X	Nomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly and consistently.	
Documentation Appropriateness of documentation.	Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and its qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated. Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are given		

Appropriateness / correctness of documentation format (ILCD Format)	to specific modelling and diviations from the EF guidance and listed principles. A link to the flow diagramme is included. Data selection principles, data treatment, data quality assessments are presented. LCIA per impact category are provided in accordance to the EF3.0 reference package. Review details are given. Administrative data is sufficient, including publication and ownership, and intended applications. Provided range of documentation is EF compliant (with reservations), and allows for a fair assessment of the dataset. Provided metadata is detailed enough, and mainly respects ILCD entry-level and additional EF requirement. Diviations do not impede a fair assessment of the dataset use. Documentation format is appropriate, all required documentation fields are filled in providing the relevant metadata for the dataset. Documentation check tool applied,		
Validation with ILCD Validation		etected issues. ILCD validation tool is applied, no issues detected.	
DQRs	NA	Provided DQR scores are not updated in the reference package update, in accordance with the section 3.6.5 of the tender. The existent EF2.0 DQR scores assessement was not reviewed. User is advised to consider the DQR with caution when using them without recalculation.	
Cut-off	NA	Not reviewed for the scope of changing EF reference package; no changes expected in the update	
Additional information	User is adviced to take into account that packaging materials are not included in the modelling of the reference product		

The reviewers declare on their responsibility that the reviewed data set is compliant with the Environmental Footprint general and specific compliancy rules, with the deviation notions provided.

Max Sonnen, Ecomatters B.V.

haber

Natalia Chebaeva, Ecomatters B.V.