REVIEW REPORTING				
General information				
Data set name	2-butoxye	ethanol; teo	chnology mix; production mix, at plant	
Data set UUID and version	-		pa-c089-4666-9f46-bee31965adad	
number	Disaggregated: f4f1c6fd-5368-4f59-b887-76e56b9cde8b			
		umber: 03.		
Data set locator	CEPE LCDN node: Chemicals for Paints datasets for PEF			
	calculations, reference package EF3.0; https://lcdn-cepe.org/			
Review commissioner(s)	The European association of Paint, Printing Inks and Artists'			
	colours manufacturers (CEPE)			
Reviewer name(s) and	Max Sonnen, Ecomatters B.V., max.sonnen@ecomatters.nl;			
affiliation(s), contact	Natalia Chebaeva, Ecomatters B.V.,			
	natalia.chebaeva@ecomatters.nl			
Review type applied, and	Review type 2, EF review compliance fulfilled.			
compliance with EF requirements				
for review				
Method used for review , and	The review focuses on the update of the dataset due to the EF			
review scope	reference package update. Selective review is performed			
	covering only the points and parameters updated. The			
	underlying general model was reviewed, with spot check of			
	some par	ameters in	all data sets. Suggestions for the dataset	
	documen	tation were	e provided, discussed, and implemented.	
	Review w	as carried o	out with study of the provided data set ILCD	
	files, data set documentation, a series of dialogues with the data set developer and data entry practitioner, and additional information provided by data entry practitioner on request.			
Date of review completion	22.04.2022			
Reviewed against/Compliance	PEF/OEF	PEF/OEF		
system name				
Compatibility with EF reference	EF3.0 (3f5b0b56-60e6-4df7-869d-a811830386d9)			
package (Version)				
Overall compliance assessment	1		1	
Aspect	yes	no	comments	
Compliance with specific EF	Х		Electricity modelling is not reviewed for	
requirements			the scope of changing EF reference	
			package; update of the background	
			dataset for electricity is verified. Climate	
			change modelling is not reviewed for the	
			scope of changing EF reference package;	
			no changes expected in the update.	
			Agricultural modelling is not reviewed for	
			the scope of changing EF reference	
			package; no changes expected in the	
			update. Transport is not included in the	
			foreground modelling, but is documented	
			to be accounted for in the averaged	
			background processes used for	
			approximation. Review of the background	
			is out of the scope of review. Capital	

are documented to be included in the averaged background used for approximation. Review of the background is out of the scope of revie Water flows are mainly regionalized, we separation of withdrawal, release and evaporation for both foreground and background. Non-regionalised water flows are explained as allocated to countries not covered by the EF reference package, according to the EF guidance. Land related flows are partially regionalised. Non-regionalised flows are possible to be allocated to countries not covered by the EF guidance. Land related flows are partially regionalised. Non-regionalised flows are possible to be allocated to countries not covered by the EF guidance. Land related flows are partially regionalised. Non-regionalised flows are possible to be allocated to countries not covered by the EF guidance. Land related flows are possible to be allocated to countries not covered by the EF reference package; no changes expected in the update   Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation) NA Not reviewed for the scope of changes expected in the update   Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation) X Reference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermedial product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.   LCIA results consistency X IcIA results consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with lock@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected. <td< th=""><th></th><th>1</th><th></th></td<>		1			
Allocation rules clearly explained and consistentNANot reviewed for the scope of changing EF reference package; no changes expected in the updateCircular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)XReference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermediat product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore noi applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrect nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical coverage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			included in the foreground modelling, but are documented to be included in the averaged background used for approximation. Review of the background is out of the scope of review. Water flows are mainly regionalized, with separation of withdrawal, release and evaporation for both foreground and background. Non-regionalised water flows are explained as allocated to countries not covered by the EF reference package, according to the EF guidance. Land related flows are partially regionalised. Non-regionalised flows are possible to be allocated to countries not listed in the EF reference package. No duplicated or waste flows detected. No		
Allocation rules clearly explained and consistentNANot reviewed for the scope of changing EF reference package; no changes expected in the updateCircular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)XReference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermediat product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore noi applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrect nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.Appropriateness of documentation.Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical coverage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			duplicated elementary flows detected.		
Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)XReference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermediat product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	Allocation rules clearly explained	NA	Not reviewed for the scope of changing		
Circular Footprint Formula (correct implementation)XReference product is assumed without recycled content, and is an intermediat product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore noi applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureXNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	and consistent		EF reference package; no changes		
(correct implementation)recycled content, and is an intermediat product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureXNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			expected in the update		
product (end of life of the product is outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureXNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	Circular Footprint Formula	Х	Reference product is assumed without		
outside the system boundary). Circular footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported consistently. Verification of the reported consistently. Verification of the process and consistency accored to the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical coverage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	(correct implementation)		recycled content, and is an intermediate		
footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is not included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported consistently. Verification of the reported consistently. Verification of the reported consistently. Verification of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.Correct nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XDocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
applied in the foreground modelling as not relevant. CFF application in the background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			outside the system boundary). Circular		
InterferenceIndextInformationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and iIndextInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and iIndextInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and iIndextIndextInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and iIndext<			footprint formula (CFF) is therefore not		
background system is assumed in accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			applied in the foreground modelling as		
accordance to the background data set used (primarily, as concerns energy recovery in waste treatment), and is no included into the scope of review.LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteries results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. LCIA results consistencyLCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
Image: LCIA results consistencyXImage: LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			_		
Included into the scope of review.   LCIA results consistency X   LCIA results are reported consistently.   Verification of the reported characteriss results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.   Nomenclature   Correctness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.) Nomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.   Documentation Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are gived					
LCIA results consistencyXLCIA results are reported consistently. Verification of the reported characteris results is done with Look@LCA. No discrepancies above 1% detected.NomenclatureXNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)Nomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	LCIA results consistency	X			
NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
NomenclatureCorrectness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			_		
Correctness and consistency of applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)XNomenclature of the process and relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give			discrepancies above 1% detected.		
applied nomenclature (use of Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)relevant flows is compliant (Reference package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give		V	Newsynalsteine - Ciles		
Specific EF reference package; Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.)package EF3.0), and applied correctly a consistently.DocumentationInformation on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give	-	X			
Correct nomenclature of other flows, processes etc.) consistently.   Documentation Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
flows, processes etc.) Documentation   Appropriateness of documentation. Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
Documentation     Appropriateness of documentation.   Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give					
Appropriateness of documentation.Information on the dataset name, UUID, reference flow and i qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicate Modelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give		L			
documentation.qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicateModelling choices and principles are listed (LCI method and approaches, cut-off, specific modelling), explanations are give		Information on the datacet name LUUD reference flow and the			
		qualities, technology and geographical covereage are indicated.			

	selection principles, data treatment, data quality assessments are presented. LCIA per impact category are provided in accordance to the EF3.0 reference package. Review details are given. Administrative data is sufficient, including publication and ownership, and intended applications. Allocation method used is declared but is not detailed in the documentation as of allocated co-products, and allocation factors used. Provided range of documentation is EF compliant (with reservations), and allows for a fair assessment of the dataset. Provided metadata is detailed enough, and mainly respects ILCD entry-level and additional EF requirement. Diviations do not impede a fair assessment of the dataset use.		
Appropriateness / correctness of documentation format (ILCD Format)	Documentation format is appropriate, all required documentation fields are filled in providing the relevant metadata for the dataset. Documentation check tool applied, with no detected issues.		
Validation with ILCD Validation tool	X	ILCD validation tool is applied, no issues detected.	
DQRs	NA	Provided DQR scores are not updated in the reference package update, in accordance with the section 3.6.5 of the tender. The existent EF2.0 DQR scores assessement was not reviewed. User is advised to consider the DQR with caution when using them without recalculation.	
Cut-off	NA	Not reviewed for the scope of changing EF reference package; no changes expected in the update	
Additional information	User is advices to take into account that packaging of the product is not included in the modelling, while transport and energy flows modelling is included, but accounted for in the blackbox.		

The reviewers declare on their responsibility that the reviewed data set is compliant with the Environmental Footprint general and specific compliancy rules, with the deviation notions provided.

Max Sonnen, Ecomatters B.V. Harder Natalia Chebaeva, Ecomatters B.V.